# <u>Modeling Atmospheres of Neutron</u> <u>Stars</u>

Isolated NSs: solitary NSs or those in binaries without accretion

Slava Zavlin (NASA/MSFC) The 363-d Heraeus Seminar, Bad Honnef, May 15-19, 2006

### Short history

- Chiu & Salpeter (1964) and Tsuruta (1964): thermal radiation from the surface of a hot NS may be a source of cosmic X-rays
- First detections with Einstein (1978-81) and EXOSAT (1983-86): middle-aged PSRs B0656+14, Geminga and B1055-52 central compact sources in the SNRs RCW 103, Puppis A, PKS 1209-52 a few AXPs
- since 1991, X-ray studies with ROSAT, ASCA, EUVE, BeppoSax, Chandra and XMM-Newton

In total, thermal emission detected from about  $\sim$ 30 NSs:

- from the whole surface (atmospheres?) of cooling NSs
- from polar caps heated by relativistic particles streaming down onto the surface from pulsar's magnetosphere

<u>Thermal vs. Nonthermal</u> emission in pulsars of different ages

Nonthermal (magnetospheric) emission, ~  $\dot{E}$  ~  $t^{-\beta}$ ,  $\beta$  ~ 2–4 NSs cool down from T $\approx 10^{11}$  K (at birth) to 0.2-2 MK in 0.1-1 Myr



Crab, B1509-58, B0540-69 Vela, B0656+14, B1055-15, Geminga, J0538+2817

6-ms J0437-4715.

B0950+08

# Main questions

- Why is studying thermal emission needed?
- What is the state of the NS surface?
   Gaseuos or liquid, or solid?
- What is the chemical composition of the NS surface? Hydrogen or heavier elements (e.g., iron)?
- What is the proper model for the thermal radiation?

• Why is studying thermal emission needed?

Comparing observed emission with theoretical models  $\Rightarrow$  $\Rightarrow$  T<sub>surf</sub>, B, R, M T<sub>surf</sub> (†)  $\Rightarrow$  thermal evolution R, M  $\Rightarrow$  constraints on EOS and internal structure

surface chemical composition  $\Rightarrow$ formation of NSs and their interaction with environment

• What is the state of the NS surface?

It depends on  $T_{surf}$ , B and chemical composition. For hydrogen, the surface is in a condensed state if:

 $\begin{array}{cccc} T_{surf} < 1 \times 10^5 \text{ K} & @ & B = 1 \times 10^{13} \text{ G} \\ T_{surf} < 5 \times 10^5 \text{ K} & @ & B = 1 \times 10^{14} \text{ G} \\ T_{surf} < 1 \times 10^6 \text{ K} & @ & B = 5 \times 10^{14} \text{ G} \end{array}$ 

(Lai & Salpeter 1997)

 What is the chemical composition of the NS surface? Heavy elements or hydrogen?

A small amount of H,

surface density  $\sim 10^{-3} - 10^{-1} \text{ g/cm}^2$ total amount  $\sim 10^{10} - 10^{12} \text{ g}$ ,

due to accretion from ISM or fallback of material ejected during the SNR explosion.

Otherwise, heavier elements may be present.

• What is the proper model for the thermal radiation?

Whatever is the physical state of the surface, its radiation should not be that of a black body.

## Main aspects of the NS atmosphere modeling

- What's special about NS atmospheres?
   Why not to use standard stellar atmosphere models?
- 1. Enormous gravity at the surface (M  $\approx$  1.4  $M_{\odot},$  R  $\approx$  10 km)

 $g \approx 10^{14}$  vs.  $10^4$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s for usual stars

 $\Rightarrow$  NS atmospheres are strongly compressed

 $\rho \approx 10^{-2} - 10^1$  vs.  $10^{-7}$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>

height  $\approx kT_{sur}/m_Pg \approx 10^{-1} - 10^1$  vs.  $10^8$  cm

- $\Rightarrow$  stratification of chemical elements
- ⇒ non-ideality effects (pressure ionization, smoothed spectral features)

- 2. Huge magnetic fields,  $B = 10^{10} 10^{14} G$
- $\Rightarrow$  E<sub>ce</sub> = 11.6 (B/10<sup>12</sup> G) keV » kT<sub>sur</sub> ~ 0.1 keV, E ~0.1-1 keV
- $\Rightarrow$  NS atmospheres are essentially anisotropic
- $\Rightarrow$  opacities depend on direction and polarization of radiation
- $\Rightarrow$  radiation is polarized and depends on B
- $\Rightarrow \gamma = E_{ce} / (Z^2 Ry) = 850 Z^{-2} (B/10^{12} G) \gg 1$
- $\Rightarrow$  atomic structure is distorted by B
- ⇒ increase of binding (ionization) energies of bound states  $I/(Z^2Ry) \approx \ln^2(\gamma/Z^2) \gg 1$ ,  $I_H \approx 0.2 \text{ keV}$  at B =  $10^{13}G$
- $\Rightarrow$  altered ionization equilibrium and equation of state

NS atmosphere models with "low" magnetic fields,  $B < 10^8 - 10^9 G$  (millisecond pulsars, NS transients in quiescence)

### <u>General scheme (Mihalas 1978):</u> (Romani 1987, Zavlin et al. 1996, Gänsike et al. 2001, Zavlin & Pavlov 2002)

• radiative transfer in isotropic 1-D medium for specific radiative intensity  $I_{\nu}(z,\mu)$ 

$$\mu \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}y} I_{\nu} = k_{\nu} \left[ I_{\nu} - S_{\nu} \right], \quad \mu = \cos \theta = \vec{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{r}}, \quad \mathrm{d}y = -\rho \,\mathrm{d}z$$

 $k_{\nu} = \sigma_{\nu} + \alpha_{\nu} - \text{total radiative opacity, scattering+absorption}$   $S_{\nu} = \left[\sigma_{\nu} J_{\nu} + \alpha_{\nu} B_{\nu}\right] k_{\nu}^{-1} - \text{source function}$  $J_{\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} I_{\nu} d\mu - \text{mean intensity}$ 

Comptonization is not important at T  $< 5 \times 10^{6}$  K

Most common (diffusion) approach:

$$\frac{d}{dy} k_{\nu}^{-1} \frac{d}{dy} f_{\nu} J_{\nu} = \alpha_{\nu} \left[ J_{\nu} - B_{\nu} \right]$$

$$k_{\nu}^{-1} \frac{d}{dy} f_{\nu} J_{\nu} = h_{\nu} J_{\nu} \Big|_{y=0} \qquad \text{-no incident emission}$$

$$J_{\nu} = B_{\nu} \Big|_{y \to \infty} \qquad \text{-equilibrium solution}$$

the Eddington factors (accounting for anisotropy of radiation):  

$$f_{v} = \left[2J_{v}\right]^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} \mu^{2} I_{v} d\mu \quad \left(\approx \frac{1}{3}, \quad y \to \infty\right)$$

$$h_{v} = \left[2J_{v}\right]^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} \mu \left[I_{v}(\mu) + I_{v}(-\mu)\right] d\mu \quad \left(\approx \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

 $F_{\nu} = \frac{4\pi}{k_{\nu}} \frac{d}{dy} f_{\nu} J_{\nu} \qquad -\text{spectral (monochromatic) flux}$ 

radiative equilibrium (electron conductivity is not important)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\nu \int_{-1}^{1} \mu I_{\nu} \,\mathrm{d}\mu = \sigma_{\mathrm{SB}} T_{\mathrm{eff}}^{4} \rightarrow \int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha_{\nu} \big[ J_{\nu} - B_{\nu} \big] \,\mathrm{d}\nu = 0$$

• hydrostatic equilibrium (radiative force is not important)

$$P = k_{\rm B} N T = g y$$

 ionization equilibrium based on the occupation-probability formalism for non-ideal plasmas (e.g., Hummer & Mihalas 1988)



radiative opacities:

absorption due to free-free, bound-free and bound-bound transitions

the Thomson scattering on electrons

 $k_v \sim E^{-3}$ 

Model input:  $T_{eff}$ , M, R (or g), chemical composition

Model output:

$$F_{\nu} = 4\pi h_{\nu} J_{\nu} - \text{spectral (monochromatic) flux at } y = 0$$
$$I_{\nu} = \mu^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_{\nu} k_{\nu} \exp\left[-\mu^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{y} k_{\nu} dx\right] dy - \text{specific intensity}$$



atmospheric structure:

 $T(y), \rho(y)$ 



# Spectra of nonmagnetic NS atmospheres with various abundances of heavy elements





NS atmosphere models with strong magnetic fields,  $B = 10^{10} - 10^{14} G$ 

(all ordinary pulsars, magnetars, radio-quiet INSs [?])

radiative transfer for two polarization modes, extra- and ordinary ones, with ortogonal polarizations (Gnedin & Pavlov 1974)

$$\mu \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}y} I_{\nu}^{j}(\vec{\mathbf{n}}) = k_{\nu}^{j}(\vec{\mathbf{n}}) \ I_{\nu}^{j}(\vec{\mathbf{n}}) - \Gamma^{2}$$

$$-\left[\sum_{i=1}^{2} \oint d\vec{\mathbf{n}}' I_{\nu}^{i}(\vec{\mathbf{n}}') \sigma_{\nu}^{ij}(\vec{\mathbf{n}},\vec{\mathbf{n}}') + \alpha_{\nu}^{j}(\vec{\mathbf{n}}) \frac{B_{\nu}}{2}\right]$$

$$k_{\nu}^{j}(\vec{\mathbf{n}}) = \alpha_{\nu}^{j} + \sigma_{\nu}^{j}, \quad \sigma_{\nu}^{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \oint d\vec{\mathbf{n}}' \sigma_{\nu}^{ij}(\vec{\mathbf{n}},\vec{\mathbf{n}}')$$

— total radiative opacity, scattering+absorption



radiative and hydrostatic equilibrium

Diffusion approximation:

-

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}y} D_{\nu}^{j} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}y} J_{\nu}^{j} - \sigma_{\nu} \left[ J_{\nu}^{j} - J_{\nu}^{3-j} \right] = \alpha_{\nu}^{j} \left[ J^{j} - \frac{B_{\nu}}{2} \right]$$

$$\alpha_{\nu}^{j} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint \mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{n}} \alpha_{\nu}^{j} (\vec{\mathbf{n}})$$

$$\sigma_{\nu} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint \mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{n}} \mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{n}}' \sigma_{\nu}^{12} (\vec{\mathbf{n}}, \vec{\mathbf{n}}')$$

$$D_{\nu}^{j} = D_{j}^{\parallel} \cos^{2} \Theta_{B} + D_{j}^{\perp} \sin^{2} \Theta_{B}, \quad D_{j}^{\parallel} = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mu^{2}}{k_{\nu}^{j}} \mathrm{d}\mu, \quad D_{j}^{\perp} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-\mu^{2})}{k_{\nu}^{j}} \mathrm{d}\mu$$

$$\cos \Theta_{B} = \vec{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{r}}$$

Model output: specific intensity and spectral flux at y = 0

$$I_{\nu}^{j} = \mu^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha_{\nu}^{j} \frac{B_{\nu}}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sigma_{\nu}^{ji} J_{\nu}^{j} \right] \exp\left[ -\mu^{-1} \int_{0}^{y} k_{\nu}^{j} dx \right] dy$$
  
$$F_{\nu}^{j} = \int_{0}^{1} \mu I_{\nu}^{j} d\mu$$

### NS atmosphere models with strong magnetic fields, $B = 10^{11} - 10^{14} G$



Angular dependence of radiation from a magnetized NS atmosphere:





Iron magnetized NS atmosphere models (Rajagopal et al. 1997)



More on hydrogen NS models for  $B > 10^{14} - 10^{15} G$ , fully ionized case: Özel 2001, Zane et al. 2001, Ho & Lai 2001  $\rightarrow$ studying vacuum-polarization effects (Gnedin et al. 1977): conversion of normal modes of radiation in particular, it affects cyclotron lines (makes them very narrow)

More on partially ionized hydrogen atmosphere models:

Ho et al. 2003, Ho & Lai 2004  $\rightarrow$  spectral features due to bound-free and bound-bound transitions

First magnetized NS atmosphere models for C, O, Ne chemical compositions (Mori et al. 2006)

Thermal emission as seen by a distant observer

General case: 
$$F(E) = g_r \frac{1}{d^2} \int_S \mu I(g_r^{-1}E) dS$$
  $\left[ \times \exp(-n_H \sigma) \right]$   
 $g_r = \left[ 1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 R} \right]^{1/2}$  — redshift parameter  
 $E$  — observed (redshifted) energy  
 $S$  — visible emitting area  
 $d$  — distance to the object

nonuniform surface temerature and magnetic field
gravitational bending of photon trajectories
Doppler shifts of photon energies (for fast rotators)

Small heated spots (polar caps):

$$F(E) = g_r \frac{S_a}{d^2} I(g_r^{-1}E, \mu^*)$$



Effect of the Doppler shift



Spectra from the whole surface of a nonmagnetized NS (iron atmosphere)

### Light curves of radiation from a magnetized NS



Practical aspect: NS atmosphere vs. blackbody model



<u>Successful applications of hydrogen atmosphere models:</u>

- young pulsars, Vela, J0538+2817, B1706-44 (10—30 kyr), whose thermal emission originates from the whole NS surface of T>1 MK
- millisecond and old pulsars with thermal X-ray component emitted from heated polar caps, J0437-4715, J2124-3358, J0030+0451, J1024-0719, B0950+08, J2043+2740
- compact central sources in the SNR Puppis A, RX J0822-4300, and in the SNR CTA 1, RX J0007+7302 thermal emission from the whole NS surface
- transiently accreting NSs in X-ray binaries, Aql X-1, Cen X-4, KS 1713-260, 4U 2129+47, MXB 1659-29 quiescent radiation is interpreted as emitted from the whole NS surface due to heat released in the compressed material
- hydrogen atmosphere models can be useful for distinguishing between transiently accreting NSs and black holes, in quiescence

XSPEC codes:

NSA, NSAGRAV — spectral fluxes for a wide range of surface temperature, magnetic field, surface gravitational acceleration NS atmospheres do not work:

middle-aged pulsars (100 — 300 kyr), Geminga, PSRs 0656+14, 1055-52...

```
PSR J1119-6127 (today's talk)
```

old radio-quiet isolated NSs, RX J1856-3754, J0720-3125, J1308+2127...

These have lower surface temperatures, (0.5 -0.7) MK, and high magnetic fields  $\rightarrow$  atmospheres may not exist

### Problems, future work

- bound-bound transitions in superstrong
   field B > 10<sup>14</sup> G, when the lines get into observable X-ray range
- molecules and molecular chains in strong magnetic fields
- reliable models for partially ionized atmospheres for for various chemical compositions
- radiative transfer approach based on two polarization modes is inaccurate for partially ionized plasma
- solving the radiative transfer equations for the four Stokes parameters using the polarizability tensor constracted with aid of the Kramers-Kronig relation
- "thin" atmosphere models optically thick only at lower energies

### From atmospheres to condensed surfaces

- solids and liquids in strong magnetic fields
- phase transition from atmospheres to condensed surface
- reliable models for emissivity of condensed surface

Everyone is welcome to contribute...